



North Sea Transition Steering Committee Meeting 21 June 2022

This meeting was held via MS Teams

Welcome/Introductions

- Industry – Industry committed to UKCS investment, but Energy Profits Levy has shaken operators. Work hand in hand with HMT / BEIS to help deliver effectively.
- NSTA - Have fed back to HMT industry comments. Tripartite relationship between government, industry and regulator has been very effective – important to maintain this.

Industry NSTA feedback

- NSTA seen by operators as highly effective regulator. High quality of reports / databases. Caveat —any time NSTA needs industry input it is incremental work for industry.
- Engagement / dialogue – good quality, mindset to help. Sometimes NSTA may appear unwilling to engage (probably for good reason) – maybe seen as stepping back. General view - all benefit from engagement.
- Licence / consent management – Exploration – notion of flexibility during Covid – feel that should continue. NSTA can be too much by the book. Recognise need to be diligent. Overall – has improved over the years.
- Decommissioning dialogue / process – perceived as quite onerous – would welcome streamlining.
- Some operators commented that it was not always clear if NZ or MER is key factor in a decision.
- Powers – generally good feedback on enhanced facilitation. One operator said situations when not very clear what purpose of facilitation is?
- Licence management – Sometimes perception balance was more about facilitating new players rather than servicing existing players.
- Supply Chain – SCAPS process – way NSTA has most influence. Pathfinder database considered very helpful.



- Big expectation – NSTA being voice of industry towards other regulators - which facilitates and informs them. However, more is requested to work on regulatory stickiness.
- Narrative — transition & security of supply. Keen for stronger narrative towards importance of industry – not just on transition but on existing O&G operations.

NSTA Response

- NSTA mindful of working burden – so always want to only ask for data when needed. If we are adding an activity on industry, we should see what we can take out.
- On the perception of being unwilling to engage – if there is a pattern, then we are happy to look at that. Always isolated cases. Sometimes it is down to operators' poor licence management.
- Increased flexibility difficult to justify. Suggest companies make such requests only when relevant, and there is good reason. If it is mismanagement of licence, NSTA cannot be flexible.
- On players – need investment from range of players. If there were a number of projects where industry feels there is inconsistency – then NSTA would be willing to look at it.
- MER v NZ - Not aware of any where MER and NZ have been in competition.
- Facilitation? Should be clear if it is initiated by NSTA. But more often than not instigated by industry. Agree we can be more explicit about our role and who has initiated.
- New players – Heard yesterday that we are getting good feedback on change of control consultation. Good to get that right. Industry is better placed – understand risks / investment etc.
- Other regulators – will continue to help where we can. Vocal on this e.g., at EEEGR conference. Attending meetings with fellow CEs and Chairs to discuss integration / improving regulatory joining up. Concerned offshore wind higher profile than other transition elements (CCS / Hydrogen). Useful for industry to be vocal.



OEUK Stakeholder engagement

- OEUK gave an overview of the Stakeholder engagement they had carried out.
- NSTA suggested that this was worth a discussion at next Board meeting and at next NSTF and requested a short paper, slides and narrative.

Energy Profits Levy

- Government in process of putting together draft Bill. Meeting set with HMT to relay industry views.
- Key issues - how to mitigate impacts of EPL. Also highlight how it has affected investor confidence. Important government is aware of the diversity of impact.

Task Force Updates

Asset Stewardship

- Come from a very strong year 2021 – much delivered, good work on all aspects, including case studies, electrification. Resource progression – all hands to the pump. Low carbon – measurement part. PETF – 80% target - struggle to get engagement on that. Enormous activity level in companies – need to be careful if we want to re-launch to do it in a prioritised way. Re-setting and focusing on PE.
- NSTA has good database / understanding - suggested working with the AS Task Force lead to create a list of key priorities.

Decommissioning and Reuse

- Progress on decommissioning of large structures, particularly with use of super vessels. Variety of domestic disposal yards utilised – and local content. Still lacking UK deep-water quay – could improve cost efficiency and make UK disposal yards more competitive. More removal contracts being put in place – very often on a fixed-price basis.
- Other big slice of costs is wells P&A – 45% of decommissioning costs. Mixed picture - uptick in wells campaigning. Have seen collaboration on subsea infrastructure projects. On the other hand, have seen upward pressure on rig rates. Some future uncertainty on unit costs.



- On costs - decommissioning estimate & target will be rolled out at November conference, together with useful benchmarks.
- Reuse/repurposing infrastructure has been high graded by NSTA – helping operators to consider their COP applications and focus on infrastructure which genuinely has reuse potential.
- Working with OEUK – decom skills shortage / roadshows / student engagement.

Exploration

- Exploration licensing. CCS round launched with bids due in September. O&G licensing – encouraging companies to nominate areas. Want to go as far out / wide as possible. Apprehensive about appetite post EPL. Advocacy continuing - geo-skills industry focus. Continuing to advocate for O&G exploration upstream. Also working on carbon storage licensing and how we can meet longer-term storage targets.

Carbon Storage Task Force proposal

- Value in having a community to discuss lessons learned as you go through licensing milestones and steps. NSTA worked with OEUK to flesh out concept, recognising OEUK have forum. CSTF – scope is about offshore transportation and storage – will have an XTF representative. Propose including CCSA in the task force. Looking for ratification of this proposal.

Supply chain and exports

- Hectic year. Dominant focus on delivering elements back to OEUK and NSTD – getting guidance done in pragmatic way. Progressing / reporting on local content. Work on how the supply chain respond in kind to get support back to the operators so get ever more globally competitive. Costs / liquidity – looking at how you have the money to build the factory in which SP will build the kit – support for industry. Focus on getting that 1st tranche of work for OEUK. Opportunity in SCAPS process – conformity of data.

Technology Leadership Board

- NZ technology mapping to complement NSTD. Opportunity – new energy ecosystem requiring fit for UK technology solutions. Reliant on our SC to innovate and disrupt.
- Objective – understand critical gaps to decarbonise and transform energy ecosystem and communicate and enable cross sector collaboration and investment.
- Key findings – high levels of interdependency. Transportation, storage, and transmission solutions required across all areas.
- Key recommendations – incentivise industry to develop, test and deploy technology. Utilise challenge focused technology clusters to decarbonise existing energy system. Create test and demonstration centres to support industry tech clusters. Develop



critical transport and storage solutions to deliver an integrated and potentially global solution for NZ.

Wells

- Re-energising / refocussing – energy security – but get more explicit about NZ – more efficient wells. Does rely on critical few representatives involved – more active players important. Keen to re-energise the community. Building the connection with OEUK wells forum. Learning - right scoping and wells removal, and how to be more efficient with platform drilling.

AOB

Proposal to stand down Efficiency task force

- OEUK – looking at collaboration focus – suggest good timing to stand down efficiency task force and repurpose to collaboration. Raising this as a “heads up” rather than asking for approval at this stage.